August 6, 2002

Ms. Elaine S. Hengen Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso 2 Civic Center Plaza El Paso, Texas 79901-1196

OR2002-4310

Dear Ms. Hengen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 166707.

The City of El Paso (the "city") received a request for "[a]ny document generated in the investigation of the behavior of [three named El Paso Police Department Officers] on March 18." You inform us that there are two criminal investigatory cases, Nos. 02-077347 and 02-077348, and two administrative cases, Nos. CP02-080 and CP02-127, that are responsive to the request. You inform us that, in response to a previous request, you have provided this requestor with the incident report and complaint affidavit from case No. 02-077348. You state that you have no objection to the release of case Nos. 02-077347 and CP02-127 and will release documents from these cases. You further state that the city has released a copy of the incident report and another document from case No. CP-02-080 and will release other purely administrative documents from this case as well. You contend, however, that the remainder of the requested information relating to case Nos. 02-077348 and CP02-080 is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We assume that the representative sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Section 552.108(a)(1) excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime... if... release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." A governmental body that raises section 552.108 must reasonably explain, if the requested information does not supply an explanation on its face, how and why section 552.108 applies to the information. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986).

In support of your claim under section 552.108, you inform us that the submitted information relates to a pending criminal prosecution of one of the individuals involved. After reviewing the submitted information, we conclude that you have shown that section 552.108(a)(1) is applicable to the information contained in file Nos. 02-077348 and CP02-080. See Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). But see Morales v. Ellen, 840 S.W.2d 519 (Tex. App.--El Paso 1992, writ denied) (section 552.108 not applicable to internal affairs investigation that did not relate to separate criminal investigation). Because you state that the city has already released basic information from both of the reports at issue, we find that the department may withhold the submitted information under section 552.108(a)(1). See Gov't Code § 552.108(c) (section 552.108 does not except from disclosure "basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime"). As our ruling on this issue is dispositive, we need not address your other claimed exceptions.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental

body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Denis C. McElroy

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Di che Sh

DCM/seg

Ref:

ID# 166707

Enc.

Submitted documents

c:

Ms. Louie Gilot El Paso Times P.O. Box 20 El Paso, Texas 79903

(w/o enclosures)